Fxaminer Art Unit DONGHAI D. NGUYEN 3729 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) _____. (1) DONGHALD, NGUYEN. (4)____. (2) MOLLIE LETTANG. Date of Interview: 08 September 2011. □ Telephonic □ Video Conference Type: ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant applicant's representative ⊠ N∩ If Yes, brief description: . Issues Discussed □101 □112 □102 □103 ☑Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...) Applicants agreed to make changes to the claim languages by an Examiner's Amendment to put the case in condition for allowance.

Application No.

10/791.084

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Applicant(s)

STEIGERWALD ET AL.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (Rev. 8/11/2010)

☐ Attachment

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the time is lated in MEPF 213.04 for complete and proper recordation including the interview is lated in MEPF 213.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentiability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not argreement was reached on the issued.